arXiv:1212.0271v1 [hep-th] 3 Dec 2012

Bosonic string theory with dust

Jack Gegenberg and Viqar Husain
Department of Mathematics and Statistics and Department of Physics
University of New Brunswick
Fredericton, NB E3B 5A3, Canada

Abstract.
We study a type of modified bosonic string theory that has a scalar field with unit gradient ("dust") on the string worldsheet. The Hamiltonian analysis reveals a time reparametrization constraint that is linear in the dust field momentum. This suggests a natural "dust time" gauge. We give a Fock quantization of the theory in this gauge. The resulting quantum theory is quite different from the usual one: there is a rich particle content and no anomaly in any dimension. The theory therefore hints at a possible alternative path to models of quantum gravity and elementary particles in four dimensions.

1. Introduction

String theory is one of the most promising programs for reconciling gravity and elementary particle physics. Classical string theory is a conformal and diffeomorphism invariant 2-dimensional field theory. Preservation of these invariances in the quantum theory requires that strings propagate in a target spacetime that is either 26 dimensional for the bosonic string, or 10 for the superstring. This is both a strength and weakness of string theory; higher dimensionality allows the possibility of Kaluza-Klein type unification of the fundamental interactions, but at the same time poses the challenge of observing extra dimensions, which could be any of a vast range of possible Calabi-Yau manifolds.

In this paper we construct a modification of bosonic string theory that is anomaly free in any dimension. This is done by adding a new world sheet field to the Polyakov action, while keeping the metric and embedding fields. This additional "dust" field breaks conformal invariance at the classical level. In [1], it is shown that adding such a field to the action of general relativity leads to a Hamiltonian constraint that is linear in the dust momentum. This enables a solution of the Hamiltonian constraint to give a physical Hamiltonian if the dust field is chosen as a time gauge. It leads to a theory that can be quantized non-perturbatively. It is therefore of interest to see what a similar construction yields for string theory ‡.

‡ It is worth noting that before the superstring revolution of the mid 1980s, P. Bergmann and his colleagues at Syracuse University considered the quantization of the relativistic string. They quantized

We first consider the covariant and Hamiltonian formulations of this modified bosonic string moving in an arbitrary dimensional Minkowski spacetime. We then study the canonical quantization of the gauge fixed classical theory in the standard Fock Hilbert space. Since the gauge is fixed and the constraints are solved at the classical level there are no Virasoro operator constraints to impose. The quantum theory is well defined (up to usual operator ordering choices): the physical Hamiltonian operator has quadratic and quartic terms in the basic Fock operators, there are no unphysical negative norm states, and it is possible to construct particle states, although their evolution turns out to be quite different from that of the standard string theory [3].

It may be argued that the new world sheet field we introduce is not "fundamental" in the same way that the world sheet metric, tensor fields on the target space, or gauge charges at the ends of an open string might be. This of course depends on what one views as fundamental fields from a purely reductionist point of view. Our approach is operational in the sense of model building; if new ingredients provide interesting and possibly useful physical and mathematical perspectives, then it is worth pursuing regardless of metaphysical questions about what is fundamental.

2. Classical Action

The action consists of two terms, the Polyakov action of the bosonic string in d dimensions and that of a pressureless irrotational dust. The dust and the string embedding variables couple to the worldsheet metric g_{ij}, but not directly to each other:

$$S[X, g, T, M] = S_B[X, g] + S_D[T, M, g], \tag{1}$$

where

$$S_B[X, g] \quad = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_\Sigma d^2x\sqrt{-g}g^{ij}X^A_{,i}X^B_{,j}\eta_{AB}; \tag{2}$$

$$S_D[T, M, g] = \int_\Sigma d^2x\sqrt{-g}M(g^{ij}T_{,i}T_{,j} + 1). \tag{3}$$

Here the $x^i = t, \sigma$ are coordinates on the worldsheet Σ and the $X^A(t, \sigma)$, $A = 0, 1, ...d-1$ are the embedding coordinates of the string worldsheet in the target Minkowski spacetime M_d with flat metric η^{AB} =diagonal $[-1, 1, ..., 1]$. The worldsheet manifold is the product $\Sigma = S \times \mathbb{R}$. The gradient $T_{,i}(t, \sigma)$ of the worldsheet scalar field $T(t, \sigma)$ gives the flow lines of the dust field and is constrained to be timelike. Finally, $M(t, x)$ is its mass density of the dust field. The string coupling constant is $\kappa := 2\pi\alpha'$.

Before specifying the variational principle, we note that S_D is not invariant under conformal transformations due to the term $M\sqrt{-g}$ in it. The nature of this term is revealed by parametrizing the world sheet metric as [4]

$$ds^2 = e^{2\rho}h_{ij}(N, N^\sigma)dx^i dx^j = e^{2\rho}\left[-N^2dt^2 + (d\sigma + N^\sigma dt)^2\right]. \tag{4}$$

the string in a covariant way by imposing the so-called Rohrlich gauge $P_A X^{A'} = 0$ [2]. The resulting theory, like the model considered here, is consistent in a spacetime of arbitrary dimensionality. It seems that these models were abandoned because the transition amplitudes did not agree with those expected from the dual models

With this the action becomes

$$S = S_B[X,h] + \int d^2x\sqrt{-h}M\left(h^{ij}T_{,i}T_{,j} + e^{2\rho}\right), \tag{5}$$

which shows that the conformal factor rescales the norm of the gradient of the dust field. Since we would like this norm to be unity, we freeze the conformal factor to be one (that is, $\rho(t,\sigma) = 0$) in S_D *as the definition of the theory.* The fields to be varied in the action are therefore X^A, T, M, N and N^σ.

With this prescription the equations of motion for X^A, M and T that follow from (5) (with $\rho = 0$) are repectively

$$\Box_h X^A = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$|\nabla T|^2 + 1 = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_i\left(\sqrt{-h}h^{ij}MT_{,j}\right) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where ∇ is the covariant derivative of $h_{ij}(N,N^\sigma)$, $|\nabla T|^2 := h^{ij}T_{,i}T_{,j}$ and $\Box_h := \nabla^i\nabla_i$.

These equations are supplemented by two additional ones obtained by varying the action with respect to N and N^σ. However, in the covariant formulation these equations are messy and reveal little about the underlying theory. Therefore we write them out in the Hamiltonian theory in the next section, where their form is simple and interpretation is manifest.

3. Hamiltonian formulation

The canonical form of the action is obtained by substituting the metric (4) with $\rho = 0$ into the covariant action, and replacing all time derivatives of fields by their corresponding momenta. We use the notation $\dot{M} := \partial_t M$, $M' := \partial_\sigma M$. Since the action does not contain time derivatives of the metric, the momenta conjugate to the metric functions N and N^σ vanish:

$$P_N = 0, \quad P_{N^\sigma} = 0. \tag{9}$$

The momenta conjugate to the variables X^A, T, M are

$$P_A = \frac{1}{\kappa N}\left(\dot{X}^B - N^\sigma X^{B\prime}\right)\eta_{AB}, \tag{10}$$

$$P_T = -\frac{2M}{N}(\dot{T} - N^\sigma T'), \tag{11}$$

$$P_M = 0. \tag{12}$$

Therefore the action (5) (with $\rho = 0$) becomes

$$S = \int dtd\sigma\ \left(P_T\dot{T} + P_A\dot{X}^A - N\mathcal{H} - N^\sigma\mathcal{H}_1\right) \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{P_T^2}{4M} - M\left(1 + (T')^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa(P_A)^2 + \kappa^{-1}(X^{A\prime})^2\right), \tag{14}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = T'P_T - X^{A\prime}P_A. \tag{15}$$

At this stage the canonical action is a functional of the canonical pairs (X^A, P_A), (T, P_T), M, and the lapse and shift functions N and N^σ.

It is now clear that the variation of the action with respect to N and N^σ give the diffeomorphism and Hamiltonian constraints

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 0, \qquad \mathcal{H} = 0. \tag{16}$$

We can eliminate M by using its equation of motion and substituting it back into the Hamiltonian density (14). Varying with respect to M gives

$$M = \pm \frac{P_T}{2\sqrt{1+(T')^2}}. \tag{17}$$

For reasons explained below, we take the negative solution. With this the Hamiltonian constraint (14) becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = P_T\sqrt{1+(T')^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa (P_A)^2 + \kappa^{-1}(X^{A\prime})^2\right) = 0. \tag{18}$$

This modified string theory has $d+1$ local configuration degrees of freedom (d fields X^A, and T), which are subject to two local first class constraints for a net $d-1$ local degrees of freedom; for the standard bosonic string there are $d-2$. It is this fact which ultimately leads to different and richer particle spectrum in the quantum theory.

3.1. Dust time gauge

In the conventional bosonic string, a standard procedure is to impose the light cone gauge, where the world sheet time $t = (X^0 + X^1)/\sqrt{2}$. This breaks target space Poincare invariance, which then must be reverified at the quantum level by computing the algebra of generators. As is well-known the algebra closes only in 26 dimensions. With our modified action, the natural gauge is $T(\sigma, t) = t$ for two reasons: it maintains target space Poincare invariance, and leads to the physical Hamiltonian $H_P = -P_T$ which can be explicitly written down, as we now show.

It is evident that the Hamiltonian constraint and the gauge condition $T(\sigma, t) - t = 0$ are a second class pair; their Poisson bracket is

$$\{T(\sigma, t), \mathcal{H}(\sigma', t)\} = \sqrt{1+(T')^2}\ \delta(\sigma - \sigma'), \tag{19}$$

where the right hand side is obviously not one of the constraints. Therefore we must either work with Dirac brackets, or solve the constraints and substitute the solutions back into the action. The latter is an easy option since the Hamiltonian constraint is readily solved to give P_T as a function of X^A and P_A:

$$P_T = -\frac{1}{2}\ \left(\kappa (P_A)^2 + \kappa^{-1}(X^{A\prime})^2\right), \tag{20}$$

and the diffeomorphism constraint simplifies to

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = P_A X^{A\prime} = 0, \tag{21}$$

where we have used $T' = 0$, which is a consequence of the gauge condition.

As with any canonical gauge fixing, we require that the gauge $T(\sigma,t)=t$ be preserved under time evolution. This gives conditions on the lapse and shift functions N and N^σ. The consistency condition is

$$\dot{T}(\sigma,t)=\{T(\sigma,t),\int d\sigma\,(N\mathcal{H}+N^\sigma\mathcal{H}_1)\}=1, \tag{22}$$

where the Poisson bracket much be computed first, and then the gauge condition inserted. We find

$$\dot{T}=\left(N\sqrt{1+(T')^2}+N^\sigma T'\right)|_{T=t}=N, \tag{23}$$

which gives $N=1$, and leaves N^σ unconstrained. Therefore the (time) gauge fixed action is

$$S^{GF}=\int dtd\sigma\left(P_A\dot{X}^A+P_T-N^\sigma\mathcal{H}_1\right). \tag{24}$$

From this we can identify the physical Hamiltonian density, up to the constraint term $N^\sigma\mathcal{H}_1$ as,

$$\mathcal{H}_P:=-P_T=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa(P_A)^2+\kappa^{-1}(X^{A\prime})^2\right). \tag{25}$$

where the last equality follows from solving the Hamiltonian constraint. (We recall here that the other sign of M in the solution (17) gives a physical Hamiltonian $H_P\leq 0$, which is undesirable.) We note that this action retains target space Poincare invariance, and still has the (first class) spatial diffeomorphism constraint. This is due to the fact that the time gauge condition $T=t$ did not break the target space invariance, unlike lightcone gauge in the standard bosonic string.

The time gauge fixed action (24) we derived above is just the canonical action of d scalar fields in two dimensions with an additional symmetry – spatial diffeomorphism invariance. The other symmetry is of course the global target space Poincare invariance. In the following we restrict attention to the closed string, so the former symmetry is Diff(S^1).

3.2. Comparison with the bosonic string

At this stage it is useful to make a comparison with the constraint structure of the standard closed bosonic string. This highlights the differences between the two theories, and gives a helpful comparison of their descriptions in terms of the oscillator variables.

The standard closed string constraints in the Hamiltonian theory are [4]:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa(P_A)^2+\kappa^{-1}(X^{A\prime})^2\right)=0,\\&P_AX^{A\prime}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

This shows one obvious difference: the function in the first equation *is the non-vanishing physical Hamiltonian density* (25) of the dusty string. This arises of course because the Hamiltonian constraint of the latter theory is solved (in the $T=t$ gauge) to yield the physical hamiltonian, which turns out to be the same function.

The Virasoro generators of the closed string are defined as the Fourier modes of linear combinations of these constraints [4]:

$$L_n := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ e^{in\sigma}\left(\mathcal{H}_P + \mathcal{H}_1\right), \tag{27}$$

$$\bar{L}_n := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ e^{-in\sigma}\left(\mathcal{H}_P - \mathcal{H}_1\right). \tag{28}$$

The modes of the physical Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_P$ (25) and constraint $\mathcal{H}_1$ (21) of the theory with dust may therefore be written in terms of these generators as

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ e^{in\sigma}\mathcal{H}_P = L_n + \bar{L}_n^*, \tag{29}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ e^{in\sigma}\mathcal{H}_1\ \ = L_n - \bar{L}_n^* = 0. \tag{30}$$

We remind the reader that unlike the standard string, the first equation is no longer a constraint in the theory with dust – the physical Hamiltonian in dust time gauge is the non-vanishing expression

$$H_P := \int d\sigma\ \mathcal{H}_P = L_0 + \bar{L}_0, \tag{31}$$

with the diffeomorphism contraints

$$L_n = \bar{L}_n^*, \qquad \forall\ n. \tag{32}$$

This Hamiltonian and set of constraints can be written in terms of oscillator variables in the standard way by substituting the Fourier expansions [4]

$$\begin{aligned} X^A(\sigma,t) &= x_c^A(t) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n>0} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(c_n^A(t)e^{-in\sigma} + \bar{c}_n^A(t)e^{in\sigma}\right.\\ &\quad + \left. c_n^{A*}(t)e^{in\sigma} + \bar{c}_n^{A*}(t)e^{-in\sigma}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^A(\sigma,t) &= \frac{p_c^A(t)}{2\pi} + \frac{i}{2\pi\sqrt{2\alpha'}}\sum_{n>0} n^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-c_n^A(t)e^{-in\sigma} - \bar{c}_n^A(t)e^{in\sigma}\right.\\ &\quad + \left. c_n^{A*}(t)e^{in\sigma} + \bar{c}_n^{A*}(t)e^{-in\sigma}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

into the expressions for $\mathcal{H}_P$ and $\mathcal{H}_1$. The Poisson brackets of the coefficients in this mode expansion induced by the fundamental Poisson brackets of X^A and P_A are

$$\left\{x_c^A, p_{lB}\right\} = \delta_C^B, \quad \left\{c_m^A, c_n^{B*}\right\} = -i\eta^{AB}\delta_{mn}, \quad \left\{\bar{c}_m^A, \bar{c}_n^{B*}\right\} = -i\eta^{AB}\delta_{mn}. \tag{35}$$

The mode expansion gives

$$H_P = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\ \mathcal{H}_P = \frac{\alpha'}{2}p_c^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n>0} n\left(c_{nA}^* c_n^A + \bar{c}_{nA}^*\bar{c}_n^A\right). \tag{36}$$

The oscillator expansion of the L_n and $\bar{L}_n$ may be similarly written down, giving the familiar expressions [4].

3.3. Complete gauge fixing

In this section we describe a fixing of the remaining spatial diffeomorphism symmetry Diff(S^1), together with a solution of the corresponding constraint. The result is an unconstrained theory of true physical variables. This full gauge fixing will be the starting point for Fock quantization in the following section.

We make the choice $X^0(\sigma,t)=\sigma$. This has the two-fold advantage of providing a nice solution of the diffeomorphism constraint, while at the same time removing negative norm states in the quantum theory. It is evident that this condition is second class with the diffeomorphism constraint, and so is a viable choice. However $X^0(\sigma,t)=X^0(\sigma+2\pi,t)$ for the closed string case we are considering, whereas the function x is not periodic. This issue is easily dealt with by replacing the function σ by the Fourier series

$$f(\sigma):=\pi-2\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{n}\sin n\sigma, \tag{37}$$

which converges to σ in the domain $[0,2\pi)$. So effectively the gauge fixing condition is

$$X^0(\sigma,t)=\begin{cases}\sigma, & 0\le\sigma<2\pi\\ 0, & \sigma=2\pi.\end{cases} \tag{38}$$

which is periodic, but discontinuous at $\sigma=2\pi$.

Evolution of this gauge is given by the Hamilton equation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X}^0&=\{X^0(\sigma,t),\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma'\,(\mathcal{H}_P+N^\sigma)\}=\kappa P_0+N^\sigma(X^0)'\\ &=\kappa P_0+N^\sigma=\dot{\sigma}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

which gives shift $N^\sigma=-\kappa P_0$. Solving the diffeomorphism constraint gives

$$P_0=-P_a(X^a)',\qquad i=1\cdots d-1. \tag{40}$$

Thus fixing the gauge and solving the constraint in this manner eliminates the fields $P_0(\sigma,t)$ and $X^0(\sigma,t)$. The resulting physical degrees of freedom are the spatial embedding variables $X^a(\sigma,t)$ and conjugate momenta $P_a(\sigma,t)$. The physical Hamiltonian density in terms of these variables is

$$\mathcal{H}_P=\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(-(P_aX^{a\prime})^2+P_aP^a\right)+\frac{1}{2\kappa}\left(-1+X^{a\prime}X^\prime_a\right). \tag{41}$$

It is instructive to write the Hamiltonian in the oscillator variables. To do this we note that the solution (40) for P_0 may be written in terms of the restricted set of generators L_n^{sp} and $\bar{L}_n^{sp}$ in which the target space indices run over only the spatial components. Defining

$$\ell_n^\pm\equiv L_n^{sp}\pm\bar{L}_n^{sp*}, \tag{42}$$

and using the mode definition (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned}-P_0&=P_aX^{a\prime}\\ &=\ell_0^-+\sum_{n>0}\left(\ell_n^-e^{-in\sigma}+\ell_n^{-*}e^{in\sigma}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Thus we have

$$H_P = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{H}_P \, d\sigma = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} + \ell_0^+ - 2\pi^2\alpha' \left((\ell_0^-)^2 + 2\sum_{n>0} \ell_n^- \ell_n^{-*} \right), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0^+ &= \frac{\alpha'}{2} p_c^2 + \sum_{n>0} n \left(c_{an}^* c_n^a + \bar{c}_{an}^* \bar{c}_n^a \right), & (45) \\ \ell_0^- &= \sum_{n>0} n \left(c_{kn}^* c_n^a - \bar{c}_{an}^* \bar{c}_n^a \right), & (46) \\ \ell_n^- &= -i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha' n}{2}} p_a \left(c_n^a + \bar{c}_n^{a*} \right) + \sum_{m>0} \sqrt{m(m+n)} \left(c_{am}^* c_{m+n}^a - \bar{c}_{m+n}^{a*} \bar{c}_{am} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sqrt{m(n-m)} \left(c_{am} c_{n-m}^a - \bar{c}_{am}^* c_{n-m}^{a*} \right). & (47) \end{aligned}$$

The last term in this Hamiltonian introduces a quartic coupling between the left and right movers, and between these and the center of mass (cm) momentum of the string. Furthermore this term if of order α', the same as the cm energy in l_0^+. Of particular note is that the cm momentum and oscillator modes are independent variables, unlike in the standard string, where the corresponding H_P is constrained to be zero; the latter is what provides the familiar connection between mass and oscillation modes, which is absent with the dust field.

3.4. Poincare generators

The generators of target space Poincare transformations are

$$M_{AB} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \left(X_A P_B - X_B P_A \right). \tag{48}$$

The dust field and its conjugate momentum plays no role here since these are world sheet fields. With the above time and coordinate gauge fixing, the spatial Poincare generators M_{ij} do not change, but the time-space generator becomes

$$M_{0a} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \left(\sigma P_a + X_a (P_b X^{b\prime}) \right). \tag{49}$$

It is straightforward to check that the Poisson bracket $\{M_{0a}, M_{0b}\}$ closes on M_{ab} as it should. We will see that this also goes through in the quantum theory with no restriction on target space dimension.

4. Quantum Theory

Using the Hamiltonian formulation described above, we can quantize after only the time gauge fixing, or alternatively, after fixing both time and space gauges. The first may be referred to as Dirac quantization (since one first class constraint remains), and the second as reduced phase space quantization (since no constraints remain). In the following we describe both approaches.

4.1. Dirac quantization

In this method one finds a representation of L_n and $\bar{L}_n$ on a suitable Hilbert space, and addresses the eigenvalue and constraint problems

$$\hat{H}_P|\psi\rangle = (\hat{L}_0 + \hat{\bar{L}}_0)|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle, \tag{50}$$

$$(\hat{L}_n - \hat{\bar{L}}_{-n})|\psi\rangle = 0. \tag{51}$$

The standard approach is Fock quantization, where the vacuum state $|0,0,0\rangle$ satisfies

$$\hat{c}^A_n|0,0,0\rangle = \hat{\bar{c}}^A_n|0,0,0\rangle = \hat{p}^A_c|0,0,0\rangle = 0, \tag{52}$$

and represents zero occupation of left and right moving modes, and zero centre of mass momentum. Oscillator excited states are created by the action of $\hat{c}^\dagger_n$ and $\hat{\bar{c}}^\dagger_n$, and plane wave centre of mass states with nonzero momentum k are created by

$$\exp(ik_A\hat{x}^A_c)|0,0,0\rangle = |k,0,0\rangle, \qquad \hat{p}^A_c|k,0,0\rangle = k^A|k,0,0\rangle. \tag{53}$$

The Hilbert space has negative norm states because of the commutator of the time component operators $\hat{c}^0_n$ and $\hat{\bar{c}}^0_n$ with their conjugates.

The eigenvalue problem for H_P is easy to solve since all terms in it are diagonal in the Fock representation. For example, for the 'level one' right mover state defined by $\hat{c}^{A\dagger}_1|0,0,0\rangle$, we have

$$\hat{H}_P\hat{c}^{A\dagger}_1|0,0,0\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}m^2 + 1\right)\hat{c}^{A\dagger}_1|0,0,0\rangle, \tag{54}$$

where the parameter m^2 is the eigenvalue of $p^A_c p_{cA}$. Although it is easy to write down eigenstates of H_P, we must also solve the physical state condition (51).

The operators $\hat{L}_n$ and $\hat{\bar{L}}_n$ have the familiar realization in term of oscillators, and each satisfies the Virasoro algebra. However the linear combinations

$$\hat{\ell}_n \equiv \hat{L}_n - \hat{\bar{L}}_{-n}, \tag{55}$$

which are operators that annihilate physical states, satisfy the Witt algebra

$$[\hat{\ell}_m, \hat{\ell}_n] = (m-n)\hat{\ell}_{m+n} \tag{56}$$

since the anomaly terms from its two elements cancels. Thus the Fock Hilbert space carries a faithful representation of the Diff(S^1) generators.

It appears difficult to give a general characterization of physical states specified by the Dirac condition (51): even the Fock vacuum does not satisfy the condition because $\hat{\bar{L}}_n$ contains a product of two creation operators. However the weaker quantization condition $\langle\psi|\hat{\ell}_n|\psi\rangle = 0$ is solvable.

4.2. Reduced phase quantization

The starting point here is the gauge fixed Hamiltonian H_P in eqn. (44). The Hilbert space is now the Fock space generated by only the spatial mode creation operators $\hat{c}_n^{a\dagger}$ and $\hat{\bar{c}}_n^{a\dagger}$. All states are physical since the constraint is solved classically. There are no negative norm states because the phase space fields $X^0(\sigma, t)$ and $P_0(\sigma, t)$ were eliminated by fixing the gauge and solving the constraint. The only remaining problem is the spectrum of the Hamiltonian, which is now more complicated due to the terms quadratic in oscillator variables.

It can be readily verified that the Poincare operator algebra closes in the $\hat{X}^a$ and $\hat{P}_a$ operators, with ordering taken so that $\hat{X}$ is to the left of $\hat{P}$; no restriction on dimension of the target space arises. We have not carried out the corresponding computation in oscillator variables, but see no reason why it would not go through as well with a suitable ordering.

Particle states of any mass can be constructed by the action of the creation operators; e.g. the symmetric graviton state is

$$|(ab)\rangle := c_1^{(a\dagger}\bar{c}_1^{b)\dagger}\,|0,0,0\rangle\,. \tag{57}$$

This state satisfies the transversality condition $p_{cb}\,|(ab)\rangle = 0$ by virtue of the commutation relations. However such states are not eigenstates of the Hamiltonian due to the aforementioned quartic terms. Therefore given an initial state of this kind, it will evolve under unitary evolution to some other state with a different 'particle' content. Thus such a model is obviously of no use for describing Regge trajectories, but may serve as a type of unified theory in which there is particle transmutation. Of course the natural 'particles' would be the eigenstates of H_P.

5. Conclusions and Discussion

We have constructed a modification of the closed bosonic string by coupling the worldsheet degrees of freedom to a dust field with timelike gradient. The resulting theory is not conformally invariant, but neverthless resembles the conventional bosonic string in some repects. The essential new feature is that the Hamiltonian constraint of the latter becomes the physical Hamiltonian of our theory, and it is this feature that gives rise to the main differences at the quantum level.

We described two approaches to quantization and showed that the theory has a number of interesting features. Foremost amongst these are that (i) there is no restriction on target space dimension, and (ii) the connection between invariant mass and internal state of excitation is lifted. This last property means that the string's mass and internal excitation state are fully independent, and so the theory has a much richer 'particle' content. For example there are graviton states of any mass (although they evolve to more complex states).

In the fully gauged fixed theory, the states identified as particles in string theory are not eigenstates of the Hamiltonian H_P, so the notion of particle as usually defined in string theory has no dynamically invariant meaning here. However there are other 'collective' or composite states which *are* eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, and it is these that would be the 'natural particles' of the theory (eg. just as Cooper pairs are the natural particles in superconductors.) Therefore the eigenvalue problem is one of the potentially important questions.

This work appears to be the first exploration of this type of model, and it opens up at least a few directions for further exploration. The most obvious ones are the open string and D-branes, and beyond this, possible generalizations to include supersymmetry. Also of interest are non-Fock quantizations such as the polymer quantization method as applied to the scalar field in [5, 6]. However of most interest is the question of whether such models, or variants thereof, can really serve as unified theories of gravity and the other interactions.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Thea Gegenberg, Tim Koslowski and Gabor Kunstatter for useful conversations. We also acknowledge the partial financial support of NSERC.

References

[1] V. Husain and T. Pawlowski *P*hys. Rev. Lett. 2012; [ArXiv:gr-qc/1108.1145].
[2] D.C. Salisbury, *G*en. Rel. Grav. **16**, 955 (1984).
[3] See for example J. Polchinski, *String Theory, Vol. 1,2*, Cambridge, (2005).
[4] L. Brink and M. Henneaux, *Principles of String Theory*, New York, Plenum Press (1988).
[5] A. Laddha, M. Varadarajan, Class.Quant.Grav. 27 (2010) 175010
[6] V. Husain, A. Kreienbuehl, *P*hys.Rev.D **81**, 084043 (2010), [arXiv:gr-qc/1002.0138].